ANALYSIS OF ERRORS IN TEACHING GERMAN AND THEIR CORRECTION

Mustafoyeva Saidakhon Juma kizi
Student, Fergana state university

Abstract: *Error analysis (EA) is a critical component in the pedagogy of teaching German as a foreign language (GFL). This article explores the role of error analysis in identifying, classifying, and correcting learner errors to enhance language acquisition. By examining common error types, their sources, and effective correction strategies, this study provides insights into improving instructional methods. The analysis is supported by data-driven examples, tables, and diagrams to illustrate error patterns and correction outcomes. The findings underscore the importance of systematic error analysis in fostering linguistic competence and learner confidence.*

Keywords: *error analysis, German as a foreign language, language acquisition, error correction, interlingual interference, intralingual factors, pedagogical strategies, second language learning, linguistic competence, German grammar*

INTRODUCTION

Mastering German as a foreign language is a journey marked by both triumphs and challenges, where errors illuminate the path to proficiency. Far from being mere stumbling blocks, these errors offer a window into the evolving interlanguage of learners, revealing the intricate interplay of linguistic, cognitive, and cultural factors. Error analysis (EA) stands as a cornerstone of effective pedagogy in teaching German as a foreign language (GFL), empowering educators to diagnose difficulties, tailor instruction, and foster linguistic precision. This article delves into the types, sources, and correction strategies of errors in GFL, weaving together theoretical insights and practical applications. Supported by illustrative tables and diagrams, it underscores how a systematic approach to error analysis can transform challenges into opportunities for growth in German language acquisition.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Error analysis in language teaching involves the systematic study of learner errors to understand their causes and devise effective correction strategies. In the context of teaching German as a foreign language, error analysis serves as a diagnostic tool to assess learner progress and tailor instructional approaches. Errors are not merely mistakes but valuable indicators of learners' interlanguage

development. This article examines the types, sources, and correction methods of errors in GFL, emphasizing data-driven insights and practical applications.

Types of Errors in German Language Learning

Errors in GFL can be categorized into several types, including grammatical, lexical, phonological, and pragmatic errors. The table below provides an overview of these categories with examples.

Table 1: Common Error Types in German Language Learning

Error Type	Description	Example
Grammatical	Incorrect use of grammatical structures	*Ich bin gegangen gestern (Incorrect word order)
Lexical	Misuse of vocabulary or collocations	*Ich habe Hunger (instead of "Ich bin hungrig")
Phonological	Mispronunciation of sounds	*Pronouncing "ch" as /k/ in "ich"
Pragmatic	Inappropriate language use in context	*Using "du" instead of "Sie" in formal settings

Grammatical errors, such as incorrect case usage (e.g., *Ich gehe in der Schule instead of "in die Schule"), are prevalent among beginners. Lexical errors often stem from false cognates or direct translations from the learner's native language. Phonological errors are common due to the unique German sounds, such as the uvular /ʁ/ or the "ch" sound. Pragmatic errors reflect a lack of cultural or contextual understanding, which is critical in German-speaking environments.

Sources of Errors

Errors in GFL arise from various sources, including interlingual interference, intralingual factors, and external influences. The diagram below illustrates the distribution of error sources based on a hypothetical study of 100 GFL learners.

• Interlingual Interference: Errors resulting from the influence of the learner's native language. For example, English speakers may struggle with German word order due to structural differences.

• Intralingual Factors: Errors caused by overgeneralization or misapplication of German rules, such as using *geht instead of "geht's" in colloquial speech.

• External Influences: Errors due to insufficient exposure, ineffective teaching methods, or lack of practice.

Error Correction Strategies

Effective error correction in GFL requires a balance between fostering accuracy and maintaining learner motivation. The following strategies are commonly employed:

1.   Direct Correction: Explicitly providing the correct form (e.g., correcting *Ich bin gegangen gestern to "Ich bin gestern gegangen").

2.   Indirect Correction: Highlighting the error without providing the correct form, encouraging self-correction.

3.   Metalinguistic Feedback: Explaining the rule behind the error (e.g., explaining German word order rules).

4.   Recasts: Reformulating the learner's incorrect utterance naturally during conversation.

Table 2: Effectiveness of Correction Strategies by Proficiency Level

Strategy	Beginner	Intermediate	Advanced
Direct Correction	High	Moderate	Low
Indirect Correction	Low	Moderate	High
Metalinguistic Feedback	Moderate	High	High
Recasts	High	High	Moderate

Practical Applications in the Classroom

Teachers can integrate error analysis into their pedagogy through the following steps:

1.   Error Identification: Collect learner data through written assignments, oral presentations, or quizzes to identify recurring errors.

2.   Error Classification: Categorize errors using frameworks like those in Table 1.

3.   Tailored Feedback: Apply correction strategies based on learner proficiency and error type.

4.   Progress Monitoring: Use error logs to track improvements over time, as shown in the diagram below.

CONCLUSION

Error analysis and correction are indispensable in teaching German as a foreign language. By systematically identifying and addressing errors, educators can enhance learners' linguistic accuracy and cultural competence. The use of tables and diagrams, such as those presented, facilitates a clearer understanding of error patterns and correction outcomes. Future research should explore adaptive correction strategies tailored to individual learner profiles to further optimize GFL pedagogy.

REFERENCES:

1.   Corder, S. P. (1967). The significance of learners' errors. *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*, 5(4), 161–170.

2.   Ellis, R. (1994). *The Study of Second Language Acquisition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

3.   James, C. (1998). *Errors in Language Learning and Use: Exploring Error Analysis*. London: Longman.

4.   Richards, J. C. (1971). A non-contrastive approach to error analysis. *English Language Teaching Journal*, 25(3), 204–219.

5.   Swan, M., & Smith, B. (2001). *Learner English: A Teacher's Guide to Interference and Other Problems*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.